



SPRING 2016

## CONTRIBUTING EDITOR'S COLLECTION

"WHEN HAS OPEC SPARE CAPACITY  
MATTERED FOR OIL PRICES?"

"WHAT ARE THE SOURCES OF  
RETURN FOR CTAs AND  
COMMODITY INDICES? A BRIEF  
SURVEY OF RELEVANT RESEARCH"

"CASE STUDIES FROM  
COMMODITY DERIVATIVES  
DEBACLES"

"BRIEF CASE STUDIES ON FUTURES  
CONTRACT SUCCESSES AND  
FAILURES"

HILARY TILL, SOLICH SCHOLAR,  
J.P. MORGAN CENTER FOR COMMODITIES,  
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO DENVER BUSINESS SCHOOL;  
AND CONTRIBUTING EDITOR,  
*GLOBAL COMMODITIES APPLIED RESEARCH DIGEST*

Supported by a  
generous grant from

**CME GROUP  
FOUNDATION**

## Contributing Editor's Collection

### Hilary Till

Solich Scholar, J.P. Morgan Center for Commodities, University of Colorado Denver Business School; and Contributing Editor, *Global Commodities Applied Research Digest*

This collection of four separate digest articles provides answers to the following questions:

- When has OPEC spare capacity mattered for oil prices?
- What are the sources of return for CTAs and commodity indices?
- What are the risk-management lessons from high-profile commodity derivatives debacles?
- What determines whether commodity futures contracts succeed or not?

Each article takes a different approach in answering these questions, as noted on the next page.



Hilary Till, M.Sc. (Statistics), Solich Scholar, J.P. Morgan Center for Commodities (JPMCC) at the University of Colorado Denver Business School, posing a question at the JPMCC's Research Council meeting on December 4, 2015. She is flanked (left) by Dr. Sueann Ambron, Former Dean of the Business School and Senior Advisor, JPMCC; and (right) by Dr. Thomas Brady, Chief Economist at Newmont Mining Corporation; and (immediate foreground) by Dr. Margaret Slade, Professor Emeritus, Vancouver School of Economics, University of British Columbia and Co-Chair of the JPMCC Research Council.



### **Original Empirical Analysis**

The first article on OPEC spare capacity and oil prices examines historical data and finds that at least in the past, OPEC spare capacity has only mattered when (U.S.) crude oil inventories have been low. The article does raise the question on whether a focus on OPEC behavior will continue to be relevant if America's shale industry has replaced OPEC as the oil market's "swing producer."

### **Survey of Empirical Research**

The second article on Commodity Trading Advisors (CTAs) and commodity indices surveys empirical research on the long-term drivers of return for futures programs. From this survey, one can find strong evidence that there are persistent returns in futures programs due to momentum, roll yield, and also due to rebalancing. Further, a CTA investor may also require that a program's dynamic trading strategies produce returns that have options-like payoff profiles; and institutional investors expect commodity index programs to provide diversification for their balanced equity-and-bond portfolios.

### **Industry Case Studies**

The third article on commodity derivatives debacles uses case studies to infer key risk-management lessons. Each of the case studies did not involve complex mathematical issues; instead, they can each be summarized as fundamental control problems. Large commodity derivatives trading companies must emphasize (1) compliance with regulatory rules and laws; (2) the valuation of derivatives instruments by third parties independent of front-office personnel; and (3) the imposition of position limits in all electronic trading systems.

### **A Complex System Modeled as a Competitive Game**

The fourth article on futures contract successes and failures treats the futures markets as a competitive game. Specifically, futures trading can be seen as a game where the competing players, the hedgers and speculators, each have sufficient economic reasons to participate. The referee of this game, the government authorities, has the power to stop the game, if there is not a convincing economic rationale for a futures contract's existence. Therefore, a futures contract can only succeed if it responds to a hedging need, and if speculators are able to manage the risk of taking on hedger positions. In addition, if one cannot make a convincing case that a contract serves an economic purpose, then the contract is at risk to either being banned or being heavily curtailed.

### **Common Theme**

The goal with each of the four digest articles that follows is to provide both industry participants and policymakers with useful insights on the frequently opaque, but always dynamic, commodity markets.

## What Are the Sources of Return for CTAs and Commodity Indices? A Brief Survey of Relevant Research

### Hilary Till

Solich Scholar, J.P. Morgan Center for Commodities, University of Colorado Denver Business School; and Contributing Editor, *Global Commodities Applied Research Digest*

Forthcoming in the [Journal of Wealth Management](#)  
Abstract Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2676161>

*This survey paper discusses the (potential) structural sources of return for both CTAs and commodity indices based on a review of empirical research from both academics and practitioners. The paper specifically covers (a) the long-term return sources for both managed futures programs and for commodity indices; (b) the investor expectations and the portfolio context for futures strategies; and (c) how to benchmark these strategies.*

---

This digest article will mainly draw from the survey paper's summary of return sources for futures programs. Accordingly, one can find strong evidence – historically at least – for there being persistent returns in futures programs due to momentum, roll yield, and also due to rebalancing. This is the case across asset classes, including in commodity futures programs.

### Return Sources

#### Momentum

A 2012 AQR Capital Management white paper discussed how persistent momentum profits have been across time and across asset classes. This assertion is illustrated in Figure 1 on the next page. The AQR authors theorized that “price trends exist in part due to longstanding behavioral biases exhibited by investors, such as anchoring and herding, as well as the trading activity of non-profit seeking participants, such as central banks and corporate hedging programs.”



## What Are the Sources of Return for CTAs and Commodity Indices?

**Figure 1**

Hypothetical Performance of Time Series Momentum						
Strategy performance after simulated transaction costs both gross and net of hypothetical 2-and-20 fees.						
Time Period	Gross of Fee Returns (Annualized)	Net of 2/20 Fee Returns (Annualized)	Realized Volatility (Annualized)	Sharpe Ratio, Net of Fees	Correlation to S&P 500 Returns	Correlation to US 10-year Bond Returns
<b>Full Sample:</b>						
Jan 1903 - June 2012	20.0%	14.3%	9.9%	1.00	-0.05	-0.05
<b>By Decade:</b>						
Jan 1903 - Dec 1912	18.8%	13.4%	10.1%	0.84	-0.30	-0.59
Jan 1913 - Dec 1922	17.1%	11.9%	10.4%	0.70	-0.12	-0.11
Jan 1923 - Dec 1932	17.1%	11.9%	9.7%	0.92	-0.07	0.10
Jan 1933 - Dec 1942	9.7%	6.0%	9.2%	0.66	0.00	0.55
Jan 1943 - Dec 1952	19.4%	13.7%	11.7%	1.08	0.21	0.22
Jan 1953 - Dec 1962	24.8%	18.4%	10.0%	1.51	0.21	-0.18
Jan 1963 - Dec 1972	26.9%	19.6%	9.2%	1.42	-0.14	-0.35
Jan 1973 - Dec 1982	40.3%	30.3%	9.2%	1.89	-0.19	-0.40
Jan 1983 - Dec 1992	17.8%	12.5%	9.4%	0.53	0.15	0.13
Jan 1993 - Dec 2002	19.3%	13.6%	8.4%	1.04	-0.21	0.32
Jan 2003 - June 2012	11.4%	7.5%	9.7%	0.61	-0.22	0.20

Source: Hurst *et al.* (2012), Exhibit 1.

### Roll Yield

In addition to momentum, the empirical literature also documents that “roll yield” can be considered a structural source of return, at least over long periods of time. A 2014 Campbell & Company white paper attempted to demystify “roll yield.” According to the white paper, futures returns “and spot returns on the same underlying asset often diverge, and the magnitude of this divergence is known as the futures ‘roll yield.’”

Excerpting further from the Campbell & Company white paper: “The cumulative impact of roll yield can be quite significant, in some cases being similar in magnitude to the entire gain or loss an investor experiences over the lifetime of a trade.” In summary, “the roll yield represents the net benefit or cost of owning the underlying asset beyond moves in the spot price itself.” “[T]he spot return and roll yield together comprise the total return experienced by an investor (net of financing costs.)” Figure 2 on the next page shows the “benefits and costs relevant to selected asset classes.” For each asset class, the roll yield can be arrived at by deducting the cost of holding the asset from its benefit.

This net benefit or net cost shows up in an asset class’ futures curve. If there is a net benefit to holding the commodity, then a futures contract will be priced at a discount to the asset class’ spot price, reflecting this benefit. Correspondingly, if there is a net cost to holding the commodity, then a futures contract will be priced at a premium to the asset class’ spot price, reflecting this cost.

Returning to the table in Figure 2, which shows the benefits and costs of holding selected asset classes, “[f]or financial assets, these represent actual cash flows, while other assets may have non-cash flow costs and benefits [such as] the convenience yield in the case of commodities.” The “convenience yield



[in turn] reflects the benefits to holding a physical commodity, which tends to be more valuable when inventories are low or shortages are expected.”

**Figure 2**

<b>Benefits and Costs of Holding Selected Asset Classes</b>		
<b>Asset Class</b>	<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Costs</b>
Bonds	Current Yield (Bond Coupon) <sup>1</sup>	Financing Rate
Currencies	Foreign Deposit Rate	Local Deposit Rate
Stocks	Dividend Yield	Financing Rate
Volatility	Hedging Against Increases in Volatility*	Insurance Premium*
Commodities	Convenience Yield*	Storage; Transport; Insurance; Financing Rate

*\*Non-cash flow terms*

<sup>1</sup> "In fixed income markets, there is an additional component to returns called the yield curve 'rolldown' (unrelated to futures roll yield) which occurs over time as the bond cash flows experience different points along the yield curve."

Source: Campbell & Company, (2014), Exhibit 3.

For commodity traders, grasping the importance of the convenience yield is quite important. Roll yield can be referred to as the net convenience yield; i.e., the benefit of holding the commodity netted against its costs. Paying attention to the net convenience yield, or roll yield, is useful over short horizons and separately, over long horizons.

Over short horizons, given that the roll yield increases during times of shortage, this factor provides a useful price proxy for fundamental data that can be used as a timing indicator for positions in a particular commodity market. That is, one would only go long a particular commodity futures contract, if one has an indication of scarcity.


Over long horizons, the roll yield is also important for commodity futures contracts. This is because of another structural feature of commodity markets: mean reversion. If a commodity has a tendency over long enough timeframes to mean-revert, then by construction, (real) returns cannot be due to a long-term appreciation (or depreciation) in spot prices. In that case, over a sufficient timeframe, the futures-only (real) return for a futures contract would have to basically collapse to its roll yield. This can be observed historically in the commodity futures markets.



## What Are the Sources of Return for CTAs and Commodity Indices?

Feldman and Till (2006) examined three agricultural futures markets from which one could obtain price data since 1949. In the 2006 paper, the authors found that over a 50-year-plus timeframe, the returns of three agricultural futures contracts were linearly related to roll yield *across time*, but this result *only* became apparent at five-year intervals, given how volatile spot prices are. This result is illustrated in Figure 3.

**Figure 3**



Graph based on research undertaken during the work that led to the article by Feldman and Till (2006).

### Rebalancing Return


Erb and Harvey (2006) discussed how there can be meaningful returns from rebalancing a portfolio of lowly-correlated, high-variance instruments. “Commodity futures contracts happen to display ... [these] characteristics ...,” noted Sanders and Irwin (2012).

The rebalancing effect was explained in Greer *et al.* (2014), as follows: “[A] ‘rebalancing return’ ... can naturally accrue from periodically resetting a portfolio of assets back to its strategic weights, causing the investor to sell assets that have gone up in value and buy assets that have declined.” Erb and Harvey (2006) concluded, in turn, that the returns from rebalancing are the one “reasonably reliable source of return” from owning (and rolling) a basket of commodity futures contracts. The issue, yet again, like roll yield, is that the rebalancing effect will not be apparent over short horizons.

## Investor Expectations and Portfolio Context

A CTA investor may also require that a program’s dynamic trading strategies produce returns that have options-like payoff profiles. Figure 4, for example, provides an example of a market-timing model for crude oil futures contracts that historically produced an option-collar-like profile across states of the crude oil market. The strategy underperforms oil in up markets, but outperforms oil during down markets. This type of analysis is drawn from Fung and Hsieh (1999).

**Figure 4**  
**“Conditionally Entered” vs. “Unconditionally Entered” Brent Crude Oil Futures (Excess) Returns**  
**End-January 1999 through End-December 2014**



Source: Till (2015), which was based on joint work with Joseph Eagleeye of Premia Research LLC.

Regarding commodity indices, institutional investors expect this investment to provide diversification for their balanced equity-and-bond portfolios. According to Fenton (2015), an updated efficient-frontier analysis for adding commodities to a standard U.S. 60/40 portfolio shows that the optimal long-run allocation over the period, March 1988 through June 2015, would have been 10%.

## Conclusion

The survey paper notes that there may be structural returns in futures strategies as a result of momentum, roll yield, and rebalancing. One caveat is that an investor’s holding period may have to be quite long term in order for these return effects to become apparent. But even structurally positive





## What Are the Sources of Return for CTAs and Commodity Indices?

returns may be insufficient to motivate investors to consider futures products. Investors may have additional requirements such as that a strategy provides exposure to an asset class while limiting its losses and also that the strategy diversifies a balanced stock-and-bond portfolio.

---

### References

Campbell & Company, 2014, “Deconstructing Futures Returns: The Role of Roll Yield,” *Campbell White Paper Series*, February.

Erb, C. and C. Harvey, 2006, “The Tactical and Strategic Value of Commodity Futures,” *Financial Analysts Journal*, Vol. 62, No. 2, March/April, pp. 69-97.

Feldman, B. and H. Till, 2006, “Backwardation and Commodity Futures Performance: Evidence from Evolving Agricultural Futures Markets,” *Journal of Alternative Investments*, Vol. 9, No. 3, Winter, pp. 24-39.

Fenton, C., 2015, “Commodity Hedger and Investor Projector: The Ascent of Risk,” Blacklight Research, July 28. [C. Fenton is a member of the Research Council of the J.P. Morgan Center for Commodities at the University of Colorado Denver Business School.]

Fung, W. and D. Hsieh, 1999, “A Primer on Hedge Funds,” *The Journal of Empirical Finance*, Vol. 6, No. 3, September, pp. 309-331.

Greer, R., R. Walny and K. Thuerbach, 2014, “We See Opportunities in Commodities,” *PIMCO Viewpoint*, March. [R. Greer is now a Scholar in Residence at the J.P. Morgan Center for Commodities at the University of Colorado Denver Business School as well being a member of the Center’s Research Council.]

Hurst, B., Ooi, Y. H. and L. Pedersen, 2012, “A Century of Evidence of Trend-Following Investing,” AQR Capital Management, Fall.

Sanders, D. and S. Irwin, 2012, “A Reappraisal of Investing in Commodity Futures Markets,” *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, Vol. 34, No. 3, September, pp. 515–530.

Till, H., 2015, “Structural Positions in Oil Futures Contracts: What are the Useful Indicators?,” *Argo: New Frontiers in Practical Risk Management*, Spring, pp. 67-81. Available at:  
[http://www.iasonltd.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Argo\\_06\\_Spring\\_2015\\_eng.pdf](http://www.iasonltd.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Argo_06_Spring_2015_eng.pdf)

### Keywords

CTA, sources of return, managed futures, commodity indices, commodity futures contracts



# GLOBAL COMMODITIES

APPLIED RESEARCH DIGEST

The *Global Commodities Applied Research Digest* is produced by the J.P. Morgan Center for Commodities (JPMCC) at the University of Colorado Denver Business School. The JPMCC is the first center of its kind focused on a broad range of commodities, including agriculture, energy and mining. Established in 2012, this innovative center provides educational programs and supports research in commodities markets, regulation, trading, financial fundamentals, investing, and risk management.

In addition to its education mission, the J.P. Morgan Center for Commodities' aim is to become a focal point for worldwide research on commodities.

Copyright © 2016 University of Colorado Denver  
Business School

## J.P. MORGAN CENTER FOR COMMODITIES

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO  
DENVER BUSINESS SCHOOL

### Physical Address

J.P. Morgan Center for  
Commodities

University of Colorado Denver  
Business School

1475 Lawrence Street  
Denver, CO 80202

### Mailing Address

J.P. Morgan Center for  
Commodities

University of Colorado Denver  
Business School

Campus Box 165  
P.O. Box 173364  
Denver, CO 80217

### Web

[business.ucdenver.edu/  
commodities](http://business.ucdenver.edu/commodities)

### Contact

Mr. Matthew Fleming  
Program Coordinator

J.P. Morgan Center for  
Commodities

University of Colorado Denver  
Business School

[matthew.fleming@ucdenver.edu](mailto:matthew.fleming@ucdenver.edu)  
1.303.315.8019



Business School  
UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO DENVER